# Associations of type 1 and type 2 diabetes with COVID-19related mortality in England: a whole-population study



Emma Barron, Chirag Bakhai, Partha Kar, Andy Weaver, Dominique Bradley, Hassan Ismail, Peter Knighton, Naomi Holman, Kamlesh Khunti, Naveed Sattar, Nicholas | Wareham, Bob Young, Jonathan Valabhji

#### Summary

Background Although diabetes has been associated with COVID-19-related mortality, the absolute and relative risks for type 1 and type 2 diabetes are unknown. We assessed the independent effects of diabetes status, by type, on inhospital death in England in patients with COVID-19 during the period from March 1 to May 11, 2020.

Methods We did a whole-population study assessing risks of in-hospital death with COVID-19 between March 1 and May 11, 2020. We included all individuals registered with a general practice in England who were alive on Feb 16, 2020. We used multivariable logistic regression to examine the effect of diabetes status, by type, on in-hospital death with COVID-19, adjusting for demographic factors and cardiovascular comorbidities. Because of the absence of data on total numbers of people infected with COVID-19 during the observation period, we calculated mortality rates for the population as a whole, rather than the population who were infected.

Findings Of the 61414470 individuals who were alive and registered with a general practice on Feb 16, 2020, 263 830 (0·4%) had a recorded diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, 2864670 (4·7%) had a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, 41750 (0·1%) had other types of diabetes, and 58 244 220 (94·8%) had no diabetes. 23 698 in-hospital COVID-19-related deaths occurred during the study period. A third occurred in people with diabetes: 7434 (31·4%) in people with type 2 diabetes, 364 (1·5%) in those with type 1 diabetes, and 69 (0·3%) in people with other types of diabetes. Unadjusted mortality rates per 100 000 people over the 72-day period were 27 (95% CI 27–28) for those without diabetes, 138 (124–153) for those with type 1 diabetes, and 260 (254–265) for those with type 2 diabetes. Adjusted for age, sex, deprivation, ethnicity, and geographical region, compared with people without diabetes, the odds ratios (ORs) for in-hospital COVID-19-related death were 3·51 (95% CI 3·16–3·90) in people with type 1 diabetes and 2·03 (1·97–2·09) in people with type 2 diabetes. These effects were attenuated to ORs of 2·86 (2·58–3·18) for type 1 diabetes and 1·80 (1·75–1·86) for type 2 diabetes when also adjusted for previous hospital admissions with coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or heart failure.

Interpretation The results of this nationwide analysis in England show that type 1 and type 2 diabetes were both independently associated with a significant increased odds of in-hospital death with COVID-19.

Funding None.

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# Introduction

By May 11, 2020, 4181 009 people were known to have had COVID-19, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, and 287624 had died. Findings from a systematic review and from studies that used univariate analyses from China,1,2 Italy,3 and the USA4 and studies that used multivariable analyses from China,56 the USA,7 and England8 have all suggested that people with diabetes have increased risks of more severe outcomes with COVID-19, including death. The English study<sup>8</sup> used data from general practices in England covering about 40% of the English population, and included adjustments for age, ethnicity, and socioeconomic deprivation. However, none of these studies differentiated between type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes, a distinction that is important in both understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the increased risk of COVID-19 in people with diabetes and in informing potential clinical and public health responses to that risk.

Data, including for type of diabetes, are routinely collected for people diagnosed with diabetes through the National Diabetes Audit (NDA) and, in 2018–19, 6774 (98%) of 6920 general practices in England participated in the NDA. In this study, we assessed the independent effects of diabetes status, by type, on inhospital death in England with COVID-19 during the period from March 1 to May 11, 2020. This investigation required a whole-population approach, and only parameters recorded reliably for the whole population, including age, sex, ethnicity, socioeconomic deprivation, diabetes status, and previous hospital admissions with some cardiovascular comorbidities, were assessed.

Additionally, in a companion study reported separately, <sup>10</sup> we assessed total numbers of deaths, in both hospital and community settings, and risk factors associated with

#### Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2020: 8: 813–22

Published Online August 13, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-8587(20)30272-2

Public Health England, York, UK (E Barron MSc); NHS England and NHS Improvement. London, UK (C Bakhai MBA. Prof P Kar MD, A Weaver MSc, D Bradley PhD, H Ismail BSc, N Holman PhD. Prof | Valabhji MD); Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Portsmouth, UK (Prof P Kar); NHS Digital, Leeds, UK (P Knighton MPhys, N Holman); Institute of Cardiovascular & Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK (N Holman. Prof N Sattar FMedSci); Diabetes Research Centre University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, UK (Prof K Khunti MD): MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus. Cambridge, UK (Prof N I Wareham PhD): Diabetes UK, London, UK (B Young MD); Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, St Mary's Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust. London, UK (Prof J Valabhji); and Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Imperial College London, London, UK (Prof J Valabhji)

Correspondence to:
Prof Jonathan Valabhji,
NHS England and NHS
Improvement, London SE1 6LH,
UK
jonathan.valabhji@nhs.net

For the COVID-19 dashboard see https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/ map.html

#### Research in context

#### Evidence before this study

From March 1 to June 14, 2020, we did weekly searches of PubMed and *medRxiv* using the search terms "COVID-19", "SARS-COV-2", "coronavirus", "SARS virus", and "diabetes", restricted to English language publications. Findings from studies from China, Italy, the USA, and England have suggested that people with diabetes have an increased risk of more severe outcomes with COVID-19, including death. In one population-based study done in England, an increased risk of COVID-19-related death was reported in people with diabetes after adjustment for demographic factors and comorbidities. However, none of these studies have reported differences in risk by type of diabetes, which is important in view of the need for specific advice for people with different types of diabetes and their families.

## Added value of this study

To our knowledge, this is the largest COVID-19-related population study, covering almost the entire population of England, and is the first study to investigate the relative and absolute risks of death in hospital with COVID-19 by type of diabetes, adjusting for key confounders. Our results show that a third of all in-hospital deaths with COVID-19 in England between March 1 and May 11, 2020 occurred in people with diabetes. Unadjusted mortality rates over the 72-day

observation period were significantly higher for people with type 2 diabetes than for people with type 1 diabetes, with both being significantly higher than for people without diabetes. Mortality rates were calculated for the population as a whole, rather than the population who were infected because of the absence of data on the total numbers of people infected with COVID-19 during the observation period. After adjustment for age, sex, deprivation, ethnicity, and geographical region, people with type 1 diabetes had 3·5 times the odds of in-hospital death with COVID-19 and people with type 2 diabetes had twice the odds, relative to people without diabetes. Further adjustment for cardiovascular comorbidities slightly attenuated the odds for people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, but these remained significantly greater than for people without diabetes.

#### Implications of all the available evidence

People with diabetes are at higher risk of COVID-19-related mortality than people without diabetes. However, mortality risk was very low for people younger than 40 years with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes. Future studies should establish the key pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the determinants of more severe outcomes of COVID-19 and inform potential clinical and public health responses to the pandemic.

COVID-19-related deaths, in people with type 1 diabetes and people with type 2 diabetes. Data for demographic and clinical characteristics, and for some microvascular and cardiovascular complications, were recorded reliably in the datasets used for the analysis in the companion study, <sup>10</sup> allowing assessment of the independent effects of each factor in each cohort on COVID-19-related mortality, including the role of previous glycaemic control and associations with BMI.

See Online for appendix 1

# Methods

## Study design and data sources

We did a whole-population study assessing the risk of inhospital death relating to COVID-19, covering all individuals registered with a general practice in England and alive on Feb 16, 2020, assessing risk in people with type 1 diabetes and people with type 2 diabetes.

In response to the increasing demand for analysis relating to the COVID-19 outbreak, NHS England and NHS Improvement created a bespoke hub of relevant datasets in the National Commissioning Data Repository.

To fulfil its statutory duties, NHS England and NHS Improvement require access to and linkage of various national pseudonymised datasets, in line with the requirements of the General Data Protection Regulation. Furthermore, in March, 2020, the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care used powers under the UK Health Service (Control of Patient Information) Regulations 2002

to require organisations to process confidential patient information for the purposes of protecting public health, providing health-care services to the public, and monitoring and managing the COVID-19 outbreak and incidents of exposure. The following datasets were included in the Commissioning Data Repository and used in this study: Master Patient Index, NDA, Bridges to Health national population segmentation, and COVID patient notification system (appendix 1 pp 1–3).

This study used deidentified data from the February, 2020, Master Patient Index, a reference dataset of every individual registered with a general practice in England. Patient demographics, birth month and year, sex, and local geographical location (lower layer super output area, based on postcode of residence) are included in the dataset.

The latest full extract of the NDA, covering the period Jan 1, 2018, to March 31, 2019, was used to identify individuals with diagnosed diabetes.9 Individuals were identified for inclusion in the NDA if they had a valid Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED) code for diabetes (excluding gestational diabetes) in their electronic health record. Type of diabetes was based on the codes recorded in clinical records: type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, or other diabetes (such as maturity-onset diabetes of the young; appendix 2).

The Bridges to Health national population segmentation dataset was used to identify individuals' long-term

For more information on **NHS COVID-19 data** see https://www. england.nhs.uk/ourwork/tsd/ data-info/

See Online for appendix 2

conditions and ethnicity.<sup>11</sup> The dataset incorporates more than 10 years of data from the Secondary Uses Service, a collection of data from all hospitals in England, including admitted patient care data, outpatient data, and emergency care data. The segmentation dataset includes comorbidity and ethnicity data for individuals, derived from activity occurring up to March 31, 2019, for comorbidity and Feb 28, 2020, for ethnicity.

Deaths in hospital with COVID-19 were taken from the COVID patient notification system, a bespoke daily data collection set up on March 1, 2020, as part of England's response to COVID-19. Inclusion in this dataset initially required a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, on April 28, 2020, inclusion was extended to also encompass patients without a positive test but with COVID-19 registered as a cause of death on the basis of clinical judgement. This study used data reported and occurring up to May 11, 2020.

Antigen testing for COVID-19 during the observation period was mostly done on patients in hospital and it was not possible to establish the true number of people in the total population who were infected. Therefore, we calculated mortality rates for the population as a whole, rather than for the population who were infected.

## Outcome

The outcome assessed was death in hospital with COVID-19 between March 1 and May 11, 2020, ascertained through the COVID patient notification system. COVID-19-related in-hospital death was used rather than total deaths with COVID-19 because of limitations in available data linkages; unlike COVID patient notification system data, data from the Office for National Statistics regarding total deaths could not be linked to the Master Patient Index dataset.

#### **Covariates**

In addition to diabetes status, age, sex, ethnicity, and deprivation were identified as potential confounding factors. Diabetes status was categorised as type 1, type 2, other diabetes, or no diabetes recorded. Age was calculated as of Feb 1, 2020, from birth month and year and grouped into 10-year age bands. Sex was recorded as male, female, or missing data. Ethnicity was classified as white, Asian, black, mixed, other, or unknown. Socioeconomic deprivation was defined by the English indices of deprivation 2019 associated with the lower layer super output area derived from the individual's home postcode and grouped into quintiles.<sup>12</sup> In view of the geographical variation in population exposure to SARS-CoV-2 across England, region was also identified as a potential outcome moderator. Individuals were allocated to one of the seven regions in England used for health-care administration purposes according to their home postcode.

We included data on admissions with a record of significant cardiovascular comorbidities (coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure) ascertained through coding in the Bridges to Health segmentation dataset.<sup>11</sup> Cardiovascular comorbidities were identified by searching through hospital records for coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure International Classification of Diseases version 10 and SNOMED codes. Full details of the criteria used are in appendix 1 (pp 2–3).

Other factors of interest, including BMI, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, and tobacco smoking status were either not recorded reliably or not recorded at all at population level in the hospital-derived datasets available, so could not be included; these factors were examined in detail in our companion study investigating risk factors for COVID-19-related mortality in people with diabetes.<sup>10</sup>

### Statistical analysis

The associations between diabetes status, sex, age group, ethnicity, deprivation, region, and comorbidities and inhospital death with COVID-19 were analysed. Unadjusted mortality rates over the 72-day observation period per 100 000 people were calculated, with the Master Patient Index population used as the denominator. Mortality rates for a given subgroup were calculated with respect to the Master Patient Index population for that subgroup.

A multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to examine whether diabetes status was associated with inhospital death in England with COVID-19, with adjustment for age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation quintile, and region. A further logistic regression model included coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure to assess the effect of these comorbidities on the association between diabetes and in-hospital death with COVID-19. Separate models were run by sex, age group (<70 years and ≥70 years), ethnicity, and deprivation quintile. The C statistic was calculated to assess model fit. A sensitivity analysis was done excluding people of unknown ethnicity. The proportions of different ethnicities in the population with known ethnicity were compared with the 2011 census and the characteristics of people with unknown ethnicity were investigated.13

Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05 and CIs were set at 95%. All data were analysed with Stata (version 16). All numbers taken directly from the NDA were rounded to the nearest five people to protect confidentiality of individuals. Data cells with between one and four counts in the COVID patient notification system were suppressed because of data protection regulations.

# Role of the funding source

There was no funding source for this study. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

## **Results**

61414470 individuals were registered with a general practice in England and were alive on Feb 16, 2020. Of

those, 263 830 (0.4%) had a recorded diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, 2864670 (4.7%) had a recorded diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, 41750 (0.1%) had other types of diabetes, and 58 244220 (94.8%) had no diagnosis of diabetes (table 1). In the baseline population, 30 635 515 (49.9%) were men and 30778 160 (50.1%) were women; the mean

age was 40.9 years (SD 23.2). 8245740 (13.4%) were black, Asian, or minority ethnic (BAME): Asian (3769395 [6.1%]), black (1867605 [3.0%]), mixed (937125 [1.5%]), or other (1671615 [2.7%]). Previous coronary heart disease was recorded in 2154900 (3.5%) people, cerebrovascular disease in 915555 (1.5%), and

	Overall population (n=61414470)	Type 1 diabetes (n=263 830)	Type 2 diabetes (n=2 864 670)	Other diabetes (n=41750)	No diabetes (n=58 244 220)
Age, years					
0–39	30 506 055 (49.7%)	100760 (38-2%)	67735 (2.4%)	6815 (16-3%)	30330745 (52.1%)
40-49	8 073 780 (13.1%)	41680 (15.8%)	212 945 (7.4%)	5630 (13.5%)	7813525 (13.4%)
50-59	8 266 300 (13.5%)	49 160 (18.6%)	519 825 (18-1%)	8520 (20-4%)	7688795 (13-2%)
60-69	6 359 460 (10.4%)	36125 (13.7%)	723790 (25.3%)	8510 (20-4%)	5 5 9 1 0 3 5 (9 · 6 %)
70-79	5 057 230 (8.2%)	24180 (9.2%)	766 815 (26-8%)	7215 (17-3%)	4259020 (7.3%)
≥80	3 151 645 (5.1%)	11 925 (4.5%)	573 560 (20.0%)	5060 (12·1%)	2561095 (4.4%)
Sex					
Female	30778160(50.1%)	114 495 (43.4%)	1263615 (44.1%)	19140 (45.8%)	29 380 910 (50-4%)
Male	30 635 515 (49.9%)	149330 (56.6%)	1601045 (55.9%)	22 610 (54-2%)	28 862 530 (49-6%)
Unknown	790 (<0.1%)	5 (<0.1%)	10 (<0.1%)	0	775 (<0.1%)
Ethnicity			,		
Asian	3769395 (6.1%)	14 030 (5.3%)	344780 (12.0%)	4355 (10-4%)	3 406 230 (5.8%)
Black	1867605 (3.0%)	8570 (3.2%)	122 985 (4.3%)	2095 (5.0%)	1733 955 (3.0%)
Mixed	937125 (1.5%)	3025 (1.1%)	22 265 (0.8%)	465 (1.1%)	911365 (1.6%)
Other*	1 671 615 (2·7%)	4880 (1.8%)	74385 (2.6%)	1265 (3.0%)	1591085 (2.7%)
White	40 132 970 (65.3%)	222795 (84-4%)	2 042 950 (71.3%)	28 370 (68.0%)	37 838 855 (65.0%)
Unknown	13 035 760 (21-2%)	10530 (4.0%)	257300 (9.0%)	5200 (12.5%)	12762725 (21.9%)
Index of multiple depriv		7557(177)	3, 3 * * (3 * * )	3 11 ( 3 1)	, , , 3 ( 3 ,
1 (most deprived)	12757060 (20.8%)	55 930 (21.2%)	696 675 (24-3%)	10360 (24-8%)	11 994 095 (20-6%)
2	12817805 (20.9%)	53 965 (20.5%)	638 920 (22-3%)	9430 (22-6%)	12 115 490 (20.8%)
3	12306130 (20.0%)	53 325 (20-2%)	573 660 (20.0%)	8430 (20-2%)	11 670 715 (20.0%)
4	11 876 020 (19-3%)	51 425 (19.5%)	513 315 (17.9%)	7245 (17-4%)	11304040 (19.4%)
5 (least deprived)	11 606 690 (18-9%)	48 985 (18.6%)	440 200 (15.4%)	6250 (15.0%)	11111255 (19.1%)
Unknown	50765 (0.1%)	200 (0.1%)	1905 (0.1%)	30 (0.1%)	48 625 (0.1%)
Region	50,05 (0 1%)	200 (0 1%)	1505 (0 170)	50 (0 170)	40 023 (0 1%)
East of England	7 071 470 (11.5%)	32 500 (12-3%)	311 680 (10-9%)	5275 (12.6%)	6722010 (11.5%)
London	10 499 665 (17.1%)	33 080 (12.5%)	461510 (16.1%)	7130 (17·1%)	9 997 945 (17.2%)
Midlands	11396320 (18.6%)	53 135 (20.1%)	583 655 (20.4%)	8495 (20-3%)	10751035 (18.5%)
North East and Yorkshire	9164525 (14.9%)	43765 (16.6%)	461285 (16.1%)	6160 (14.8%)	8 653 315 (14.9%)
North West	7 670 550 (12.5%)	32 100 (12.2%)	371 930 (13.0%)	4335 (10.4%)	7262185 (12.5%)
South East	9591390 (15.6%)	41 500 (15.7%)	401230 (14.0%)	5600 (13.4%)	9143 060 (15.7%)
South West	5969785 (9.7%)	27 550 (10.4%)	271470 (9.5%)	4725 (11.3%)	5666040 (9.7%)
Unknown	50765 (0.1%)	200 (0.1%)	1905 (0.1%)	30 (0.1%)	48 625 (0.1%)
Coronary heart disease	20,00 (0.1/0)	200 (0.1%)	1303 (0.1%)	20 (0.1%)	40 023 (0.1%)
No	59 259 570 (96.5%)	238 460 (90.4%)	2314195 (80.8%)	36 680 (87-9%)	56 670 235 (97-3%)
Yes	2154900 (3.5%)	25 375 (9.6%)	550 475 (19.2%)	5065 (12·1%)	1573 985 (2.7%)
Cerebrovascular disease	₹134 300 (3.3 %)	(0.0.6)	23.5 4\2 (±3.5 ½)	JOOJ (12.170)	T 3/ 3 GO (2·/ 76)
No No	60 498 915 (98-5%)	254155 (96-3%)	2 674 260 (93.4%)	39735 (95·2%)	57530765 (98-8%)
Yes	915 555 (1.5%)	9680 (3.7%)	190410 (6.6%)	2010 (4.8%)	713 455 (1.2%)
Heart failure	7+) (T.C. C+E	3000 (3.7 %)	130410 (0.0%)	2010 (4.070)	/±5455 (1.5%)
No	60783235 (99.0%)	255 350 (96-8%)	2 686 460 (93.8%)	39 880 (95.5%)	57 801 545 (99-2%)
Yes	631235 (1.0%)	8485 (3.2%)	178 210 (6.2%)	1865 (4·5%)	442 675 (0.8%)
103	031733 (1.0%)	0403 (3.2%)	1/0210 (0.740)	1002 (4.2%)	442 0/3 (0.0%)

heart failure in 631235 (1·0%). Data were missing for sex (790 [<0·1%]), ethnicity (13 035760 [21·2%]), deprivation quintile (50765 [0·1%]), and region (50765 [0·1%]); there were no missing data for age.

Compared with people without diabetes, individuals with type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes were older (mean age  $46\cdot 6$  years [SD  $19\cdot 5$ ] for those with type 1 diabetes,  $67\cdot 4$  years [ $13\cdot 4$ ] for those with type 2 diabetes, and  $39\cdot 5$  years [ $22\cdot 8$ ] for those with no diabetes) and had higher proportions of men, and higher proportions of people with previous coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure (table 1). Higher proportions of individuals with type 2 diabetes than those with type 1 or no diabetes were of BAME ethnicities and from the most deprived quintile. The proportion of missing ethnicity data was lower for people with type 1 diabetes ( $10\cdot 530\cdot [4\cdot 0\%]$ ) and type 2 diabetes ( $257\cdot 300\cdot [9\cdot 0\%]$ ) than for those without diabetes ( $127\cdot 62\cdot 725\cdot [21\cdot 9\%]$ ; table 1).

23698 people with COVID-19 died in hospital in England up to May 11, 2020. A third of these deaths occurred in people with diabetes: 7434 (31.4%) deaths in people with type 2 diabetes, 364 (1.5%) in people with type 1 diabetes, and 69 (0  $\cdot$  3%) in people with other types of diabetes (table 2). Of the 23698 people who died, 14579 (61.5%) were men; the mean age was 78.6 years (SD 12·1); and 16·0% were from BAME ethnicities (1769 [7.5%] Asian, 1354 [5.7%] black, 171 [0.7%] mixed, and 509 [ $2 \cdot 1\%$ ] other). The highest proportion of deaths across deprivation quintiles was in people from the most deprived quintile of the population (5632 [23.8%, compared with 3762 [15.9%] in the least deprived quintile). Previous coronary heart disease was recorded in 7323 (30.9%) people who died, cerebrovascular disease in 4703 (19.8%), and heart failure in 4214 (17·8%; table 2).

Compared with those without diabetes who died, individuals with type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes who died were younger (mean age  $72 \cdot 2$  years [SD  $13 \cdot 0$ ] in those with type 1 diabetes,  $77 \cdot 9$  years [ $11 \cdot 0$ ] in those with type 2 diabetes, and  $79 \cdot 2$  years [ $12 \cdot 5$ ] in those with no diabetes), a higher proportion were from BAME ethnicities, and a higher proportion had a history of coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or heart failure. There was an inverse association between COVID-19-related death and deprivation, with substantially more deaths in the most deprived quintile than in the least deprived quintile, particularly for individuals with type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes, and to a lesser extent for those without diabetes (table 2).

The unadjusted rate of in-hospital mortality with COVID-19 from March 1 to May 11, 2020, was 39 deaths (95% CI 38–39) per 100 000 people for the general population (figure 1; table 2). The rate per 100 000 people in this period was 138 (124–153) for the population with type 1 diabetes, 260 (254–265) for those with type 2 diabetes, 165 (129–209) for people with other types of

diabetes, and 27 (27–28) for those without diabetes (table 2). Mortality rates increased substantially by age group (figure 1). Within each age group, rates were significantly higher for people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes than for those without diabetes (table 2).

Regression analysis showed that there was a strong association between death in hospital with COVID-19 and age. Compared with the 60-69 years reference age group, the odds ratio (OR) was 0.012 (95% CI 0.010-0.014) for individuals younger than 40 years and 9.20 (8.83-9.58) for individuals aged 80 years or older (figure 2). Odds for COVID-19-related death were higher for men (OR 1.94 [1.89-1.99]) versus women and for those in the most deprived quintile (1.88 [1.80-1.96]) versus those in the least deprived quintile. People of BAME ethnicities had higher odds for COVID-19-related death than white people (1.35 [1.28-1.42] for Asian people; 1.71 [1.61–1.82] for black people; 1.43 [1.23–1.67] for mixed; and 1.10 [1.01-1.20] for other). There were significant differences in ORs for COVID-19-related death by region (appendix 1 p 4).

Adjusted for age, sex, index of multiple deprivation, ethnicity, and region, the odds for death in people with type 1 diabetes was 3.51 (95% CI 3.16-3.90) and for people with type 2 diabetes it was 2.03 (1.97-2.09) compared with the population without known diabetes (figure 2). The C statistic was 0.934 (95% CI 0.933-0.935).

In the model that included history of comorbidities, coronary heart disease (OR 1.32 [95% CI 1.28-1.36]), cerebrovascular disease (2.23 [2.16-2.31]), and heart failure (2.23 [2.15-2.31]) were each significantly associated with in-hospital death with COVID-19 (appendix 1 p 5). Adjustment for these comorbidities slightly attenuated the association with age identified in the model without comorbidity data (appendix 1 p 5). A modest attenuation was also seen between the associations of COVID-19-related mortality with type of diabetes (appendix 1 p 5). Adjusted for age, sex, index of multiple deprivation, ethnicity, region, and cardiovascular comorbidities, the ORs for in-hospital mortality with COVID-19 were 2.86 (2.58-3.18) for people with type 1 diabetes and 1.80 (1.75-1.86) for people with type 2 diabetes compared with people without known diabetes (appendix 1 p 5).

Separate modelling showed that the relative effect of having diabetes was greater in younger people, women, and those of black ethnicity (appendix 1 pp 6–17). In a model restricted to people younger than 70 years, compared with people with no diabetes, ORs were  $6\cdot39$  (95% CI  $5\cdot40$ – $7\cdot56$ ) for people with type 1 diabetes and  $3\cdot74$  ( $3\cdot50$ – $3\cdot99$ ) for those with type 2 diabetes; in a model restricted to people older than 70 years, ORs were  $2\cdot81$  ( $2\cdot46$ – $3\cdot22$ ) for people with type 1 diabetes and  $1\cdot79$  ( $1\cdot74$ – $1\cdot85$ ) for people with type 2 diabetes (appendix 1 p 6). Compared with people without known diabetes, the ORs for type 2 diabetes were

dead         Downlingcyddino         Type 2 clubkes         Type 2 clubkes         No club Cube change         One		COVID-19-related deaths	deaths				COVID-19-related	COVID-19-related deaths per 100 000 people over 72 days (95% CI)	people over 72 days	(D%56)	
190         364         324         69         1881         3643         364         364         69         1881         3643         360         3643         360<		Overall population	Type 1 diabetes	Type 2 diabetes	Other diabetes	No diabetes	Overall population	Type 1 diabetes	Type 2 diabetes	Other diabetes	No diabetes
Page		23 698	364	7434	69	15831	39 (38–39)	138 (124-153)	260 (254-265)	165 (129-209)	27 (27–28)
13         14         14	Age, years										
49         384 (1-64)	0–39	160 (0.7%)	:	18 (0.2%)	:	:	1 (0-1)	÷	27 (16-42)	:	:
5.9         1313 (5.5%)         49 (13.5%)         49 (13.5%)         40 (13.5%) </td <td>40-49</td> <td>384 (1.6%)</td> <td>:</td> <td>89 (1.2%)</td> <td>:</td> <td>275 (1.7%)</td> <td>5 (4-5)</td> <td>:</td> <td>42 (34-51)</td> <td>:</td> <td>4 (3-4)</td>	40-49	384 (1.6%)	:	89 (1.2%)	:	275 (1.7%)	5 (4-5)	:	42 (34-51)	:	4 (3-4)
6-9         286 (12-36)         7 (10-16)         7 (10-16)         7 (10-16)         7 (10-16)         174 (11-4)         46 (43-47)         20 (16-5-26)         134 (13-6)         134 (13-6)         26 (13-6)         20 (16-5)         2 (16-6)         2 (1	50-59	1313 (5·5%)	49 (13·5%)	399 (5·4%)	:	:	16 (15-17)	100 (74-132)	77 (69–85)	:	:
Page   1902   1902   1902   1905	69-09	2865 (12·1%)	73 (20.1%)	1042 (14.0%)	7 (10·1%)	1743 (11.0%)	45 (43-47)	202 (158-254)	144 (135–153)	82 (33-170)	31 (30-33)
Part	70–79	5904 (24.9%)	97 (26-6%)	2096 (28·2%)	22 (31.9%)	3689 (23·3%)	117 (114-120)	401 (325-489)	273 (262–285)	305 (191-462)	87 (84-89)
Part	≥80	13 072 (55·2%)	125 (34·3%)	3790 (51.0%)	32 (46·4%)	9125 (57·6%)	415 (408-422)	1048 (872-1249)	661 (640-682)	632 (432-892)	356 (349-364)
61.5%         122 (63.7%)         480 (16.6%)         46 (66.7%)         9500 (60.0%)         48 (47.48)         155 (136-177)         300 (291-308)         20           38-5%         132 (63.3%)         263 (55.4%)         33 (33.3%)         6331 (40.0%)         30 (99-30)         115 (69-137)         208 (200-216)         120           55%         44 (12.1%)         955 (12.8%)            47 (45.49)         314 (22.84)         27 (20-29)            57%         37 (10.2%)            47 (45.49)         314 (22.84)         27 (20-29)            57%         37 (10.2%)             47 (45.49)         314 (22.40)         27 (20-29)            57%	Sex										
38 5%         132 (36 3%)         263 (35 -4%)         23 (33 3%)         6331 (40 0%)         30 (29 -30)         115 (96 -317)         208 (200-216)         120 (0-46 111)         0           75%         44 (12.1%)         955 (12.8%)          47 (45 -49)         314 (228 -421)         277 (260 -295)            25%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)          91 (6.6%)         18 (16-21)          377 (260 -295)            21%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)          91 (6.6%)         18 (16-21)          377 (260 -295)            21%         11 (3.0%)         202 (2.7%)         0         296 (1.9%)         30 (28-33)         225 (13-469)         377 (250-429)            21%         11 (3.0%)         202 (2.7%)         0         296 (1.9%)         30 (28-33)         225 (13-469)         77 (60-81)            328%          179 (2-4%)          170 (29-4%)         30 (28-33)         225 (13-469)         77 (60-81)            328%                    <	Male	14579 (61.5%)	232 (63.7%)	4801 (64.6%)	46 (66.7%)	9500 (60.0%)	48 (47-48)	155 (136-177)	300 (291–308)	203 (149–271)	33 (32-34)
5%         44 (12.1%)         955 (12.8%)          47 (45-49)         314 (228-421)         277 (260-255)            75%         44 (12.1%)         955 (12.8%)          47 (45-49)         314 (228-421)         277 (260-255)            75%         37 (10.2%)         5 (7.2%)         67 (3.9%)         72 (69-76)         432 (304-559)         565 (234-609)         239 (25-27)            21%         11 (3.0%)         20 (2.7%)          91 (0.6%)         18 (16-21)          77 (25-21)            21%         11 (3.0%)         20 (2.7%)          91 (0.6%)         18 (16-21)          77 (25-21)            21%         11 (3.0%)          20 (1.9%)         30 (28-21)          77 (28-21)           77 (28-21)	Female	9119 (38.5%)	132 (36.3%)	2633 (35.4%)	23 (33·3%)	6331 (40.0%)	30 (29-30)	115 (96-137)	208 (200-216)	120 (76–180)	22 (21–22)
75%         44 (121%)         955 (128%)          47 (45-49)         314 (228-421)         277 (260-295)            75%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)         5 (7.2%)         617 (3.9%)         72 (6.976)         432 (304-595)         565 (34-69)         239 (23-69)           75%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)         5 (7.2%)         617 (3.9%)         72 (6.976)         432 (304-595)         565 (34-699)         239 (24-69)         239 (23-38)         256 (1.3%)	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0 (0-466)	0 (0-61481)	0 (0-46111)	0	0 (0-475)
7-5%         44 (12.1%)         955 (12.8%)          47 (45-49)         314 (228-421)         277 (260-295)            7-5%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)         5 (7.2%)         617 (3.9%)         72 (69-76)         432 (304-595)         565 (524-609)         239 (5.2%)         237 (10.2%)         237 (1	Ethnicity										
57%         37 (10.2%)         695 (9.3%)         5 (7.2%)         617 (3.9%)         72 (6.9-76)         432 (304-595)         565 (524-609)         239 (265-422)           21%          75 (1.0%)          91 (0.6%)         18 (16-21)          337 (265-422)            21%          75 (1.0%)          91 (0.6%)         18 (16-21)          337 (265-422)            21%	Asian	1769 (7·5%)	44 (12·1%)	955 (12.8%)	:	:	47 (45-49)	314 (228-421)	277 (260-295)	:	:
0.7%          75 (1.0%)          91 (0.6%)         18 (16-21)          337 (26-422)            2.1%         11 (3.0%)         2.02 (2.7%)         0         2.96 (1.9%)         30 (28-33)         2.25 (113-403)         2.72 (235-312)         0           80.0%         2.66 (73.1%)         5.32 (6.2%)         0         2.96 (1.9%)         30 (28-33)         2.25 (113-403)         2.72 (235-312)         0           3.9%          1.79 (2.4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (1.6-81)            3.9%          1.79 (2.4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (1.6-81)            3.9%          1.79 (2.4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (1.6-81)            2.5%          1.79 (2.4%)         1.40 (2.3%)         3.446 (21.8%)         44 (43-45)         1.91 (152-2.6)         1.35           2.5%          1.7 (2.4%)         3.32 (1.4%)         3.32 (1.4%)         3.32 (1.4%)         3.32 (1.4%)         3.32 (1.4%)         3.25 (1.2-2.3)         2.25 (1.2-2.6)         1.35           1.5%          1.0 (1.4%)          1.0	Black	1354 (5·7%)	37 (10·2%)	(%8:6) 469	5 (7.2%)	617 (3.9%)	72 (69–76)	432 (304–595)	565 (524-609)	239 (77-557)	36 (33-39)
21%         11(30%)         202 (2.7%)         0         296 (1.9%)         30 (28-33)         225 (133-403)         272 (133-312)         0           800%         266 (73-1%)         5328 (71-7%)         5376 8%)         13321 (84-1%)         47 (47-48)         119 (105-135)         261 (254-268)         187           39%          179 (2-4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (7-8)          7 (10-81)	Mixed	171 (0.7%)	:	75 (1.0%)	:	91 (0.6%)	18 (16–21)	÷	337 (265-422)	:	10 (8-12)
80.0%         266 (731%)         5328 (71.7%)         53 (76.8%)         13321 (84.1%)         47 (47-48)         119 (105-135)         261 (254-268)         187           3.9%          179 (2-4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (7-8)          7 (60-81)            23.9%          179 (2-4%)          7 (7-8)         1 (7-8)          1 (7-8)          1 (7-8)          1 (7-8) <td< td=""><td>Other*</td><td>509 (2·1%)</td><td>11 (3.0%)</td><td>202 (2·7%)</td><td>0</td><td>296 (1.9%)</td><td>30 (28–33)</td><td>225 (113-403)</td><td>272 (235–312)</td><td>0 (0-292)</td><td>19 (17-21)</td></td<>	Other*	509 (2·1%)	11 (3.0%)	202 (2·7%)	0	296 (1.9%)	30 (28–33)	225 (113-403)	272 (235–312)	0 (0-292)	19 (17-21)
23.9%          179 (2-4%)          7 (7-8)          7 (60-81)            23.8%         107 (29-4%)         2065 (27-8%)         14 (20-3%)         3446 (21-8%)         44 (43-45)         191 (157-231)         296 (284-309)         135           22.5%         80 (22-0%)         1854 (24-9%)         17 (24-6%)         3391 (21-4%)         42 (41-43)         148 (118-185)         290 (277-304)         186           195.         88 (24-2%)         1404 (18-9%)         12 (17-4%)         3310 (21-4%)         38 (36-39)         165 (132-203)         245 (232-258)         142           185.         88 (24-2%)         1404 (18-9%)         12 (17-4%)         3302 (19-5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (122-238)         166           185.         38 (10-4%)         140 (0-18%)         276 (17-4%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (252-965)         0           115.         0         10 (0-1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         36 (35-37)         36 (41-420)         215 (202-230)         224 (22-265)         0           115.         0         10 (0-1%)         0         14 (20-3%)         33 (31-3%)         36 (35-37)         36 (41-420)         21 (14-420)         21 (14-420)	White	18 968 (80.0%)	266 (73·1%)	5328 (71.7%)	53 (76-8%)	13 321 (84·1%)	47 (47-48)	119 (105-135)	261 (254-268)	187 (140-244)	35 (35-36)
22.5%         107 (29-4%)         2065 (27-8%)         14 (20-3%)         3446 (21-8%)         44 (43-45)         191 (157-231)         296 (284-309)         135           22.5%         80 (22-0%)         1854 (24-9%)         17 (24-6%)         3391 (21-4%)         42 (41-43)         148 (118-185)         290 (277-304)         180           19.5%         88 (24-2%)         1854 (24-9%)         17 (24-6%)         3392 (19-7%)         38 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (12-238)         146           18.5%         1153 (15-5%)         12 (17-4%)         3092 (19-5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (12-238)         166           15-9%         38 (10-4%)         14 (20-3%)         2762 (17-4%)         32 (31-33)         78 (55-106)         225 (212-238)         166           11-7%         0         10 (0-1%)         0         20 (0-1%)         59 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         225 (212-238)         166           11-7%         50 (13-7%)         16 (23-2%)         1961 (112-4%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (252-965)         226           11-7%         50 (13-7%)         16 (23-2%)         1961 (112-4%)         36 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         221 (24-228)         196           11-7%         19 (	Unknown	927 (3.9%)	:	179 (2·4%)	:	:	7 (7–8)	:	70 (60–81)	:	:
stdeprived)         563 (23.8%)         107 (29.4%)         2065 (27.8%)         14 (20.3%)         3446 (21.8%)         44 (43-45)         191 (157-231)         296 (284-399)         135 (22.9%)           5342 (22.5%)         80 (22.0%)         1854 (24.9%)         17 (24.6%)         3391 (21.4%)         42 (41-43)         148 (118-185)         290 (277-304)         180           4624 (19.5%)         88 (24.2%)         1404 (18.9%)         17 (17.4%)         3202 (19.5%)         38 (36-39)         165 (132-203)         245 (232-258)         140           stdeprived)         376 (15.9%)         38 (10.4%)         16 (17.4%)         3202 (19.5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (212-238)         166           own         30 (11.9%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         36 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         225 (222-965)         226           own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         36 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         225 (252-965)         226           own         536 (15.2%)         16 (23.2%)         14 (20.3%)         14 (20.3%)         32 (31-33)         245 (14-20)         22 (22-265)         22 (22-265)         22 (22-265)         22 (22-265)         22 (22-265)         22 (22-265) <td>Index of multiple deprivation</td> <td>nquintile</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Index of multiple deprivation	nquintile									
5342 (22.5%)         80 (22.0%)         1854 (49.9%)         17 (24.6%)         3391 (21.4%)         42 (41-43)         148 (118-185)         290 (277-304)         180 (22.5%)           4624 (19.5%)         88 (24.2%)         1404 (18.9%)         12 (17.4%)         3120 (19.7%)         38 (36-39)         165 (132-203)         245 (322-258)         142           4308 (18.2%)         51 (14.0%)         1153 (15.5%)         12 (17.4%)         300 (19.5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         25 (212-238)         146           own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         25 (212-238)         146           own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         25 (212-238)         146           on         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         39 (38-41)         15 (414-203)         24 (212-238)         196           on         5336 (22.5%)         12 (20.4%)         16 (23.2%)         14 (20.3%)         3321 (21.0%)         51 (49-52)         24 (114-203)         24 (122-238)         196           on         5335 (22.5%)         81 (22.2%)         15 (20.2%)	1 (most deprived)	5632 (23.8%)	107 (29·4%)	2065 (27·8%)	14 (20.3%)	3446 (21.8%)	44 (43-45)	191 (157-231)	296 (284-309)	135 (74-227)	29 (28–30)
4624 (195%)         88 (24.2%)         1404 (18.9%)         12 (17.4%)         3120 (19.7%)         38 (36-39)         165 (132-203)         245 (232-258)         142           4308 (18.2%)         51 (14.0%)         1153 (15.5%)         12 (17.4%)         3092 (19.5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (212-238)         166           stdeprived)         3762 (15.9%)         38 (10.4%)         948 (12.8%)         14 (20.3%)         2762 (17.4%)         32 (31-33)         78 (55-106)         225 (212-238)         166           own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         59 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         225 (212-238)         166           of England         2777 (11.7%)         50 (13.7%)         750 (10.1%)         16 (23.2%)         1961 (12.4%)         39 (38-41)         154 (144-20)         225 (212-265)         30           on         5336 (25.5%)         13 (20.4%)         14 (20.3%)         3321 (21.0%)         51 (49-52)         245 (194-304)         416 (24-27)         196           on         4671 (19.7%)         1500 (20.2%)         9 (13.0%)         3066 (19.4%)         41 (40-42)         181 (146-221)         245 (194-230)         196           on         4671 (19.7%)         105 (14.2%)	2	5342 (22·5%)	80 (22.0%)	1854 (24.9%)	17 (24·6%)	3391 (21.4%)	42 (41-43)	148 (118-185)	290 (277–304)	180 (105-289)	28 (27–29)
4308 (18.2%)         51 (14.0%)         1153 (15.5%)         12 (17.4%)         3092 (19.5%)         36 (35-37)         99 (74-130)         225 (212-238)         166           st deprived)         3762 (15.9%)         38 (10.4%)         948 (12.8%)         14 (20.3%)         2762 (17.4%)         32 (31-33)         78 (55-106)         215 (202-230)         224           own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         59 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         515 (222-365)         0           of England         2777 (11.7%)         50 (13.7%)         750 (10.1%)         16 (23.2%)         1961 (12.4%)         39 (38-41)         154 (14-203)         241 (224-258)         196           on         5336 (22.5%)         81 (22.3%)         1920 (25.8%)         14 (20.3%)         3906 (19.4%)         41 (40-42)         181 (146-221)         241 (224-258)         196           nds         4671 (19.7%)         96 (26.4%)         10 (14.5%)         2728 (14.4%)         36 (35-37)         94 (67-127)         215 (202-238)         146           n West         339 (12.2%)         33 (14.6%)         10 (14.5%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-127)         213 (202-228)         148           n West         2903 (12.2%)	3	4624 (19.5%)	88 (24·2%)	1404 (18·9%)	12 (17-4%)	3120 (19·7%)	38 (36–39)	165 (132-203)	245 (232–258)	142 (74-249)	27 (26–28)
st deprived)         3762 (15-9%)         38 (10-4%)         948 (12-8%)         14 (20-3%)         2762 (17-4%)         3 (31-33)         78 (55-106)         215 (202-230)         224 (20-84)         224 (20-84)         225 (252-965) <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>4308 (18.2%)</td><td>51 (14.0%)</td><td>1153 (15·5%)</td><td>12 (17.4%)</td><td>3092 (19·5%)</td><td>36 (35-37)</td><td>99 (74-130)</td><td>225 (212–238)</td><td>166 (86-289)</td><td>27 (26–28)</td></t<>	4	4308 (18.2%)	51 (14.0%)	1153 (15·5%)	12 (17.4%)	3092 (19·5%)	36 (35-37)	99 (74-130)	225 (212–238)	166 (86-289)	27 (26–28)
own         30 (0.1%)         0         10 (0.1%)         0         20 (0.1%)         59 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         525 (525-965)         0           of England         2777 (11.7%)         50 (13.7%)         750 (10.1%)         16 (23.2%)         1961 (12.4%)         39 (38-41)         154 (14-203)         241 (224-258)         303           on         5336 (22.5%)         81 (22.3%)         1920 (25.8%)         14 (20.3%)         3321 (21.0%)         51 (49-52)         245 (194-304)         416 (398-435)         196           nnds         4671 (19.7%)         96 (26.4%)         1500 (20.2%)         9 (13.0%)         3066 (19.4%)         41 (40-42)         181 (146-221)         257 (244-270)         106           n East and Yorkshire         3319 (14.0%)         41 (113.8%)         1058 (14.2%)         6 (8.7%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           n Lest         2903 (12.2%)         33 (41.8%)         8 (11.6%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           n West         1076 (45.5%)         20 (5.5%)         33 (29-31)         20 (0.1%)         59 (40-84)         0 (0-1826)         525 (252-965)         0	5 (least deprived)	3762 (15.9%)	38 (10.4%)	948 (12.8%)	14 (20.3%)	2762 (17.4%)	32 (31–33)	78 (55–106)	215 (202–230)	224 (122–376)	25 (24-26)
of England         2777 (11.7%)         50 (13.7%)         750 (10.1%)         16 (23.2%)         1961 (12.4%)         39 (38-41)         154 (114-203)         241 (224-258)         303           on         5336 (22.5%)         81 (22.3%)         1920 (25.8%)         14 (20.3%)         331 (21.0%)         51 (49-52)         245 (194-304)         416 (398-435)         196           inds         4671 (19.7%)         96 (26.4%)         1500 (20.2%)         9 (13.0%)         3066 (19.4%)         41 (40-42)         181 (146-221)         257 (244-270)         106           inest and Yorkshire         3319 (14.0%)         44 (1113%)         990 (13.0%)         6 (8.7%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           inest and Yorkshire         332 (11.8%)         1058 (14.2%)         6 (8.7%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           inest and Yorkshire         332 (11.8%)         8 (11.6%)         1988 (12.6%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           inest and Yorkshire         332 (11.8%)         8 (11.6%)         8 (11.6%)         18 (12.6%)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           inest a	Unknown	30 (0·1%)	0	10 (0.1%)	0	20 (0·1%)	59 (40–84)	0 (0-1826)	525 (252-965)	0 (0-11528)	41 (25-64)
2777 (11.7%)         50 (13.7%)         750 (10.1%)         16 (23.2%)         1961 (12.4%)         39 (38-41)         154 (114-203)         241 (224-258)         303           5336 (22.5%)         81 (22.3%)         1920 (25.8%)         14 (20.3%)         3321 (21.0%)         51 (49-52)         245 (194-304)         416 (398-435)         196           4671 (19.7%)         96 (26.4%)         1500 (20.2%)         91 (3.0%)         3066 (19.4%)         41 (40-42)         181 (46-221)         257 (244-270)         106           Yorkshire         3319 (14.0%)         41 (11.3%)         90 (13.3%)         10 (14.5%)         2778 (14.4%)         36 (35-37)         94 (67-127)         215 (201-228)         162           3586 (15.1%)         43 (11.8%)         1058 (14.2%)         6 (8.7%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           2903 (12.2%)         33 (4.5%)         8 (11.6%)         1988 (12.6%)         30 (29-31)         73 (44-112)         122 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)         127 (109-136)	Region										
5336 (22.5%) 81 (22.3%) 1920 (25.8%) 14 (20.3%) 3321 (21.0%) 51 (49-52) 245 (194-304) 416 (398-435) 196 (40.4%) 96 (26.4%) 1500 (20.2%) 913-0%) 3066 (19.4%) 41 (40.42) 181 (146-221) 257 (244-270) 106 (40.4%) 41 (11.3%) 990 (13.3%) 10 (14.5%) 2778 (14.4%) 36 (35.37) 94 (67-127) 215 (201-228) 162 (40.4%) 1058 (15.1%) 1058 (14.2%) 6 (8.7%) 2479 (15.7%) 47 (45-48) 134 (97-180) 28 4 (268-302) 138 (40.4%) 1076 (4.5%) 20 (5.5%) 332 (4.5%) 6 (8.7%) 718 (4.5%) 18 (17.19) 73 (44-112) 122 (109-136) 127 (109-136)	East of England	2777 (11-7%)	50 (13·7%)	750 (10·1%)	16 (23.2%)	1961 (12·4%)	39 (38-41)	154 (114-203)	241 (224-258)	303 (173-493)	29 (28–30)
467 (19-7%) 96 (26-4%) 1500 (20-2%) 9 (13-0%) 3066 (19-4%) 41 (40-42) 181 (146-221) 257 (244-270) 106 and Yorkshire 3319 (14-0%) 41 (11-3%) 990 (13-3%) 10 (14-5%) 2278 (14-4%) 36 (35-37) 94 (67-127) 215 (201-228) 162 and Yorkshire 3586 (15-1%) 43 (11-8%) 1058 (14-2%) 6 (8-7%) 2479 (15-7%) 47 (45-48) 134 (97-180) 284 (268-302) 138 and Yorkshire 2903 (12-2%) 33 (9-1%) 874 (11-8%) 8 (11-6%) 1988 (12-6%) 30 (29-31) 80 (55-112) 218 (204-233) 143 and Yorkshire 30 (0-1%) 0 10 (0-1%) 0 20 (0-1%) 59 (40-84) 0 (0-1826) 525 (252-965) 0	London	5336 (22·5%)	81 (22.3%)	1920 (25.8%)	14 (20.3%)	3321 (21.0%)	51 (49-52)	245 (194-304)	416 (398-435)	196 (107-329)	33 (32-34)
and Yorkshire         3319 (14.0%)         41 (11.3%)         990 (13.3%)         10 (14.5%)         2278 (14.4%)         36 (35-37)         94 (57-127)         215 (201-228)         162           3586 (15.1%)         43 (11.8%)         1058 (14.2%)         6 (8.7%)         2479 (15.7%)         47 (45-48)         134 (97-180)         284 (268-302)         138           2903 (12.2%)         33 (9.1%)         874 (11.8%)         8 (11.6%)         1988 (12.6%)         30 (29-31)         80 (55-112)         218 (204-233)         143           1076 (45.5%)         20 (5.5%)         332 (45.5%)         6 (8.7%)         718 (45.5%)         73 (44-112)         122 (109-136)         127 (109-136)	Midlands	4671 (19.7%)	96 (26.4%)	1500 (20.2%)	9 (13.0%)	3066 (19.4%)	41 (40-42)	181 (146-221)	257 (244-270)	106 (48-201)	29 (28–30)
3586 (15.1%) 43 (11.8%) 1058 (14.2%) 6 (8.7%) 2479 (15.7%) 47 (45-48) 134 (97-180) 284 (268-302) 138 2903 (12.2%) 33 (9.1%) 874 (11.8%) 8 (11.6%) 1988 (12.6%) 30 (29-31) 80 (55-112) 218 (204-233) 143 1076 (45.5%) 20 (5.5%) 332 (45.5%) 6 (8.7%) 718 (45.%) 18 (17-19) 73 (44-112) 122 (109-136) 127 30 (0.1%) 0 20 (0.1%) 59 (40-84) 0 (0-1826) 525 (252-965) 0	North East and Yorkshire	3319 (14.0%)	41 (11.3%)	990 (13·3%)	10 (14·5%)	2278 (14·4%)	36 (35-37)	94 (67-127)	215 (201–228)	162 (78-299)	26 (25-27)
2903 (12.2%) 33 (9.1%) 874 (11.8%) 8 (11.6%) 1988 (12.6%) 30 (29-31) 80 (55-112) 218 (204-233) 143 1076 (4.5%) 20 (5.5%) 332 (4.5%) 6 (8.7%) 718 (4.5%) 18 (17-19) 73 (44-112) 122 (109-136) 127 30 (0.1%) 0 20 (0.1%) 59 (40-84) 0 (0-1826) 525 (252-965) 0	North West	3586 (15·1%)	43 (11-8%)	1058 (14·2%)	(8.7%)	2479 (15·7%)	47 (45-48)	134 (97-180)	284 (268-302)	138 (51–301)	34 (33-36)
1076 (4-5%) 20 (5-5%) 332 (4-5%) 6 (8-7%) 718 (4-5%) 18 (17-19) 73 (44-112) 122 (109-136) 127 (109-1	South East	2903 (12·2%)	33 (9·1%)	874 (11.8%)	8 (11.6%)	1988 (12·6%)	30 (29–31)	80 (55-112)	218 (204-233)	143 (62–282)	22 (21–23)
30 (0.1%) 0 10 (0.1%) 0 20 (0.1%) 59 (40–84) 0 (0–1826) 525 (252–965) 0	South West	1076 (4.5%)	20 (5·5%)	332 (4·5%)	(8.7%)	718 (4·5%)	18 (17-19)	73 (44-112)	122 (109-136)	127 (47–276)	13 (12-14)
(Table 2 continues on ne	Unknown	30 (0·1%)	0	10 (0·1%)	0	20 (0·1%)	59 (40-84)	0 (0-1826)	525 (252-965)	0 (0-11528)	41 (25-64)
										(Table 2 conti	nues on next page

	COVID-19-related deaths	deaths				COVID-19-related	COVID-19-related deaths per 100 000 people over 72 days (95% CI)	people over 72 days	(95% CI)	
	Overall population Type 1 diabetes	Type 1 diabetes	Type 2 diabetes	Other diabetes	No diabetes	Overall population Type 1 diabetes	Type 1 diabetes	Type 2 diabetes	Other diabetes	No diabetes
(Continued from previous page)	ıs page)									
Coronary heart disease										
No admission	16375 (69·1%)	190 (52.2%)	4545 (61.1%)	46 (66.7%)	11594 (73.2%)	28 (27–28)	80 (69–92)	196 (191–202)	125 (92-167)	20 (20-21)
Admission	7323 (30.9%)	174 (47-8%)	2889 (38.9%)	23 (33·3%)	4237 (26.8%)	340 (332-348)	(982-296)	525 (506–544)	454 (288-681)	269 (261-277)
Cerebrovascular disease										
No admission	18 995 (80.2%)	256 (70.3%)	5798 (78.0%)	53 (76.8%)	12 888 (81.4%)	31 (31–32)	101 (89-114)	217 (211–222)	133 (100-174)	22 (22–23)
Admission	4703 (19.8%)	108 (29·7%)	1636 (22.0%)	16 (23.2%)	2943 (18·6%)	514 (499-529)	1116 (915-1347)	859 (818-902)	796 (455-1292)	413 (398-428)
Heart failure										
No admission	19484 (82.2%)	257 (70-6%)	5734 (77·1%)	55 (79.7%)	13438 (84.9%)	32 (32–33)	101 (89-114)	213 (208–219)	138 (104-180)	23 (23-24)
Admission	4214 (17.8%)	107 (29.4%)	1700 (22.9%)	14 (20.3%)	2393 (15·1%)	668 (648-688)	1261 (1034-1524) 954 (909-1000)	954 (909-1000)	750 (410-1259)	541 (519-563)
Data are n or n (%), unless otherwise indicated. For categories with small numbers (one to four), data were not included to comply with data protection regulations, indicated by *Including Chinese, Vietnamese, Japanese, Filipino, Malaysian, and any other ethnicity.	therwise indicated. For cat	egories with small n	umbers (one to four),	data were not includ	ded to comply with data	a protection regulations,	, indicated by *Includ	ling Chinese, Vietnam	ese, Japanese, Filipino,	Malaysian, and any




Figure 1: Unadjusted in-hospital COVID-19 mortality rates, March 1 to May 11, 2020, by diabetes status Error bars show 95% Cls. Data for age groups 0-39 years and 40-49 years for type 1 diabetes and 0-39 years and 50-59 years for no diabetes have been excluded because of small numbers of events (one to four), to comply with data protection regulations.

 $2 \cdot 19$  ( $2 \cdot 09 - 2 \cdot 29$ ) in a model restricted to women only and  $1 \cdot 94$  ( $1 \cdot 87 - 2 \cdot 01$ ) in a model restricted to men only (appendix 1 p 8). In a model restricted to people of black ethnicity, the OR was  $2 \cdot 76$  ( $2 \cdot 46 - 3 \cdot 09$ ) in people with type 2 diabetes (vs no diabetes), whereas the OR in the model restricted to people of Asian ethnicity was  $1 \cdot 96$  ( $1 \cdot 77 - 2 \cdot 16$ ), and in the model restricted to people of white ethnicity it was  $1 \cdot 97$  ( $1 \cdot 91 - 2 \cdot 03$ ; appendix 1 pp 10 - 11).

Overall, the proportions of people of different ethnicities for whom ethnicity information was available were broadly similar to the proportions in the 2011 census (appendix 1 p 18). There was a greater proportion of missing data for ethnicity in younger age groups and in men, but in a sensitivity analysis excluding individuals with missing ethnicity data, the results were unchanged (appendix 1 pp 18–20).

# Discussion

Table 2: In-hospital deaths of people with COVID-19 in England between March 1 and May 11, 2020, by diabetes status

To our knowledge, this is the largest COVID-19-related whole-population study, covering almost the entire population of England, and is the first study to investigate the relative and absolute risk of death in hospital with COVID-19 by type of diabetes, adjusting for key confounding factors. Our results show an increased risk in people with diabetes, with a third of all in-hospital deaths with COVID-19 occurring in people with diabetes.




Figure 2: Adjusted odds ratios for in-hospital deaths for people with COVID-19 in England, March 1 to May 11, 2020, by demographic characteristics and diabetes status

Data are the results of a multivariable logistic regression, which included the explanatory variables shown, plus region, in a population of 61 414 470 people. \*Including Chinese, Vietnamese, Japanese, Filipino, Malaysian, and any other ethnicity.

deprivation, and region, individuals with type 1 or type 2 diabetes had greater odds of in-hospital death with COVID-19 than people without a diagnosis of diabetes. Further adjustment for cardiovascular comorbidities attenuated these associations slightly, but the odds of COVID-19-related death remained significantly greater than that in people with no diabetes.

The outcome assessed in this study was death with COVID-19 in hospital settings, so the association of type 2 diabetes with COVID-19-related mortality in general might be underestimated. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes increases with age, and so type 2 diabetes is likely to be overrepresented in people with advanced age, frailty, and multimorbidity, who in turn are likely to be overrepresented in deaths outside of hospital with COVID-19. Data analyses by the Office for National Statistics show that more than 70% of COVID-19-related deaths in people who resided in care homes from March 2 to May 1, 2020, occurred in carehome settings rather than in hospitals and were therefore not included in the datasets analysed in this study.<sup>14</sup>

All-cause mortality in people with diabetes is higher than in people without diabetes. <sup>15</sup> In an analysis of deaths during 2017 in people included in the NDA, the age-adjusted and sex-adjusted all-cause mortality rate for people with type 1 diabetes was 148% higher than in the population without diabetes (3170 deaths over

213 400 person-years at risk compared with 1278 deaths expected on the basis of the age and sex profile of the population). The figure for people with type 2 diabetes was 50·2% (89 825 deaths over 2 348 189 person-years at risk compared with 59795 expected). The ORs we report for COVID-19-related death were 3·51 (95% CI 3·16–3·90) for type 1 diabetes and 2·03 (1·97–2·09) for type 2 diabetes. These findings suggest that for people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, COVID-19 mortality rates during the pandemic were higher than the usually increased rates for all-cause mortality. People with other diagnoses of diabetes had similar odds to people with type 2 diabetes in both models in our analyses. However, this category of people is small and highly heterogeneous, so further inferences are not possible.

Adjustment for comorbidities allows an interpretation of the independent effect of diabetes on in-hospital death with COVID-19 beyond the well established link between diabetes and cardiovascular comorbidities, which are themselves determinants of COVID-19 mortality risk. We recognise that being unable to adjust for BMI, hypertension, kidney disease, and tobacco smoking, as well as other potential confounders, is likely to have left large residual confounding in the associations described.

In this study and in previous analyses, heart failure and cerebrovascular disease have been shown to be associated with serious outcomes related to COVID-19.<sup>7,8</sup> We show an association between previous coronary heart disease and in-hospital mortality with COVID-19, an association seen in some, but not all, previous studies.<sup>7,8</sup> Although we adjusted for these factors in our second model, this might represent an overadjustment, because diabetes itself predisposes to the development of cardiovascular disease.

As in a previous multivariable analysis of data from England,<sup>8</sup> our analyses showed increased odds of inhospital death with COVID-19 for older people; men; people of black, Asian, or mixed ethnicities; and those who live in areas of high socioeconomic deprivation. Although some studies have reported an association between diabetes and severe outcomes of COVID-19,<sup>1-8</sup> the findings here are novel in suggesting that the effect of diabetes on risk of death with COVID-19 is independent of age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cardiovascular comorbidities, and is in people with all types of diabetes.

There were differences in the ORs for in-hospital mortality with COVID-19 for type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes by age, sex, and ethnicity, with increased odds for type 2 diabetes for women, for both type 1 and type 2 diabetes for younger age groups, and for type 2 diabetes for black ethnicity. For younger age groups, although the relative risk of in-hospital death for type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes was significantly higher than in older age groups, the absolute risk in the lower age groups was small. This finding might be at least partly because of the outcome used in this study of in-hospital death with COVID-19, with older age groups with frailty and

multimorbidity potentially disproportionately represented in deaths with COVID-19 outside of the hospital setting.

Although all diabetes types were associated with an increased odds of in-hospital COVID-19-related deaths, our findings showed a greater increased odds in people with type 1 diabetes than in people with type 2 diabetes. Many possible reasons could account for this finding. The difference between type 1 and type 2 diabetes with respect to COVID-19-related mortality could relate to the different causes and pathophysiologies of the types of diabetes; varying patterns of diabetes complications or iatrogenic harms (such as hypoglycaemia); differing patterns, treatments, intensity, and duration of glycaemia; or the effects of comorbidities that were either not adjusted for in these analyses or that were adjusted for imperfectly.

An excess risk of other infectious disease morbidity and mortality has previously been reported in patients with type 1 diabetes compared with those with type 2 diabetes. The risk of developing pneumonia was reported to be 2.98 times higher for patients with type 1 diabetes and 1.58 times greater for those with type 2 diabetes compared with the general population. Because we could not express mortality risk as a proportion of deaths among those who were truly infected (since this was unknown), it is possible that increased susceptibility to infection with SARS-CoV-2 could explain some of the excess mortality risk associated with diabetes that was identified in this study.

On a relative scale, our findings show that type 1 and type 2 diabetes were both associated with increased odds of in-hospital death with COVID-19 (OR 3·51 for type 1 diabetes and 2·03 for type 2 diabetes, compared with people without known diabetes). Importantly, however, on an absolute scale, the unadjusted rates of in-hospital death with COVID-19 over the same 72-day period for type 1 diabetes (138 per 100 000 people) were about half that for type 2 diabetes (260 per 100 000 people), largely reflecting the different age structure of the two populations. Even with the additional risk conferred by diabetes, people younger than 40 years with either type of diabetes were at very low absolute risk of in-hospital death with COVID-19 during the observation period of this study in England.

A strength of our study is its size, covering almost the whole population of England and nearly all people with diagnosed type 1 and type 2 diabetes. However, there were several limitations. Only three cardiovascular comorbidities (coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure) were included in analyses; we did not adjust for other comorbidities because of limitations in the datasets used and available. Notably, hypertension and chronic kidney disease were not included because of incomplete recording in the hospital-derived segmentation dataset. Findings from a previous systematic review suggested an association between poor COVID-19-related

outcomes and hypertension, <sup>17</sup> although this association has not been detected in some multivariable analyses, which did show significant associations with chronic kidney disease. <sup>7,8</sup> Similarly, BMI and tobacco smoking status could not be reliably ascertained at the population level from the datasets used in our study. These risk factors (hypertension, chronic kidney disease, BMI, and tobacco smoking status), as well as the role of previous glycaemic control, are assessed in detail in our companion paper. <sup>10</sup>

Only data regarding diabetes status and comorbidities up to the end of March, 2019, were used. Therefore, a small proportion of the population for whom diabetes or cardiovascular comorbidities were first recorded after April, 2019, will have been misclassified. Finally, since we were unable to include data for out-of-hospital deaths with COVID-19, which might have occurred disproportionally in older people, our results might have underestimated the risk associated with type 2 diabetes in particular.

The findings of the study have important implications for people with diabetes, health-care professionals, and policy makers. We encourage the use of these findings, along with those from other studies investigating risk factors for COVID-19-related outcomes, to provide reassurance for young people who are at low absolute risk, despite having diabetes. For older people who are at higher absolute risk, the results can inform public guidance, including recommendations for shielding.

Further elucidation of the modifiable risk factors for poorer COVID-19 outcomes in people with diabetes will be crucial in guiding management and providing targeted support.

#### Contributors

JV, EB, CB, PKa, AW, NH, KK, NS, NJW, and BY conceived the study. EB, DB, HI, NH, and PKn managed the data and did the statistical analysis. All authors collaborated in interpretation of the results and drafting and revision of the report.

# Declaration of interests

JV is the national clinical director for diabetes and obesity at NHS England and NHS Improvement. PKa is a national specialty adviser for diabetes and obesity at NHS England and NHS Improvement. CB is an adviser to the NHS Diabetes Programme. BY is clinical lead for the National Diabetes Audit and a trustee of Diabetes UK. NH is funded by Diabetes UK. KK has been a consultant and speaker for Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi-Aventis, Lilly, and Merck Sharp & Dohme; has received grants in support of investigator-initiated trials from Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi-Aventis, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, and Boehringer Ingelheim; has served on advisory boards for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi-Aventis, Lilly, and Merck Sharp & Dohme; and is supported by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration East Midlands and the NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre. NS has consulted for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Sanofi; and received grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim. All other authors declare no competing interests.

## Acknowledgments

NHS England and NHS Improvement and Public Health England provided the infrastructures for the data repository and data linkages for these analyses and salaries for the data analysts conducting this work.

#### Reference

1 Huang I, Lim MA, Pranata R. Diabetes mellitus is associated with increased mortality and severity of disease in COVID-19 pneumonia—a systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2020; 14: 395–403.

- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1054–62.
- 3 Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Report of characteristics of patients who died positive for COVID-19 in Italy. March 17, 2020 (in Italian). https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Report-COVID-2019\_17\_marzo-v2.pdf (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- 4 Bode B, Garrett V, Messler J, et al. Glycemic characteristics and clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients hospitalized in the United States. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2020; 14: 813–21.
- 5 Chen Y, Yang D, Cheng B, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with diabetes and COVID-19 in association with glucose-lowering medication. *Diabetes Care* 2020; 43: 1399–407.
- 6 Zhu L, She Z-G, Cheng X, et al. Association of blood glucose control and outcomes in patients with COVID-19 and pre-existing type 2 diabetes. *Cell Metab* 2020; 31: 1068–77.e3.
- 7 Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2020; 369: m1966.
- 8 Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19 death in 17 million patients. *Nature* 2020; published online July 8. https://doi.org.10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4.
- 9 NHS Digital. National diabetes audit—report 1: care processes and treatment targets 2018–19, short report. Dec 13, 2019. https://digital. nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/nationaldiabetes-audit/report-1--care-processes-and-treatment-targets-2018-19-short-report (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- 10 Holman N, Knighton P, Kar P, et al. Risk factors for COVID-19related mortality in people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes in England: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; published online Aug 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-8587(20)30271-0.

- Outcomes Based Healthcare. Bridges to Health Segmentation Model: person-level clinical segmentation data model. https:// outcomesbasedhealthcare.com/bridges-to-health-segmentation-model/ (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. English indices of deprivation 2019. Sept 26, 2019. https://www.gov.uk/ government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019 (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- 13 Office for National Statistics. NOMIS official labour market statistics. Ethnic group by sex by age. May 16, 2013. https://www. nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/dc2101ew (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- Office for National Statistics. Deaths involving COVID-19 in the care sector, England and Wales: deaths occurring up to 1 May 2020 and registered up to 9 May 2020 (provisional). https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/deathsinvolvingcovid19inthecaresectorenglandandwales/deathsoccurringupto1may2020andregisteredupto9may2020 provisional (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- 15 NHS Digital. National diabetes audit—report 2: complications and mortality, 2017–18. Dec 13, 2019. https://digital.nhs.uk/data-andinformation/publications/statistical/national-diabetes-audit/report-2--complications-and-mortality-2017-18 (accessed Aug 1, 2020).
- 16 Carey IM, Critchley JA, DeWilde S, Harris T, Hosking FJ, Cook DG. Risk of infection in type 1 and type 2 diabetes compared with the general population: a matched cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 2018; 41: 513–21.
- Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020; 94: 91–95.